



**RESNA Position on the Application of**  
**Seat-Elevating Devices for Wheelchair Users**

Rehabilitation Engineering & Assistive Technology  
Society of North America

1700 N. Moore Street, Suite 1540  
Arlington, VA 22209  
Phone: 703-524-6686  
Fax: 703-524-6630

*Approved by RESNA Board of Directors September 2005*

## **RESNA Position on the Application of Seat-Elevating Devices for Wheelchair Users**

Wheelchair mobility is often only considered from the perspective of people moving from one point to another on a two dimensional plane<sup>1</sup>. Vertical movement is necessary in order for people to function and participate in a three dimensional world. A common intervention that provides vertical mobility within a wheelchair is a seat elevating device. It is RESNA's position that seat elevators are often medically necessary to assist individuals accomplish MRADLs (Mobility Related Activities of Daily Living tasks). The purpose of this document is to share typical clinical applications as well as provide evidence from the literature supporting the application of this seat function to assist practitioners in decision making and justification. It is not intended to replace clinical judgment related to specific client needs.

### **Definition**

A seat elevator will raise and lower the user in their seated position through the use of an electro-mechanical lift system, without changing the seated angles or the seat's angle relative to the ground, in order to provide varying amounts of added vertical access. A seat elevator may elevate vertically from a standard seat height, or may lower the user closer to the floor.

Seat elevating devices address the following medical needs:

### **Transfers**

Transferring from a wheelchair to other surfaces such as a bed, toilet, or other surface is a necessary part of the daily routine. Transferring is a mean to accomplish MRADLs and therefore it is considered a medical necessity. Seat elevating devices can facilitate safer and more independent transfers by elevating or lowering the seated height of the wheelchair. Examples of this application include but are not limited to;

- A wheelchair user is more readily able to transfer in a downhill direction using a sliding board versus uphill or to a level surface. In the downhill direction gravity assists as opposed to providing additional resistance and difficulty, as in the uphill direction.
- Transferring in a downward direction requires less upper extremity strain<sup>2</sup>.
- Individuals with lower extremity weakness have difficulty assuming a standing position for transfers from a low seat to floor height. Rising from an elevated seat surface has been shown to require less lower extremity strength and less extension momentum at the knees, ankles and the hips<sup>3-9</sup>. It also takes longer to rise from a lower seat surface<sup>10</sup>. The use of a seat elevating device to compensate for lower extremity weakness can assist with transfers therefore prolonging independence.

Examples of such transfers include a stand pivot transfer, unassisted or with assistance.

- A seat that lowers to the floor allows some users to transfer into and out of the seat independently onto the floor or to any lower surfaces, such as a therapeutic mat table. Children or people with absent or unusually short extremities can benefit from such feature which enables them to transfer independently yet maintain a normal seated height to access everyday surfaces such as tables.

## **Reach**

For individuals with limited reaching abilities a seat elevating device may be necessary for access to objects and surfaces within their home, work, school and community, thus improving their independence and decreasing their dependence on others. Many of these objects and surfaces are necessary to complete MRADLs for example hygiene, meal preparation, parenting, and shopping. Such areas include, but are not limited to; cabinets, sinks, grocery shelves, fire alarms, light switches, medicine cabinets, stovetops, thermostats, and service counters. Improved reach can also significantly increase a person's ability to perform work and school related activities, and their potential for integration and productivity.

Seat elevators may also help reduce upper extremity pain and help delay secondary complications to the shoulders. Studies have found an association between overhead activity and the development of shoulder pain<sup>11</sup>, and shown that the degree of upper arm elevation is one of the most important parameters influencing shoulder muscle load<sup>12-14</sup>. When reaching from an elevated position, these loads are reduced, which is significant for individuals with already compromised upper extremity strength and range of motion.

## **Psychological considerations**

Seat elevating devices also provide other benefits including;

- Eye to eye conversations are more socially appropriate and improve a person's ability to participate in social activities.
- Communication on level height may improve a person's self confidence thereby increasing their chance of success.
- Vertical mobility can raise society's expectation of wheelchair users and provide them with a more equal chance for success.

## **Additional physiological aspects:**

When talking at eye level with others, typical hyperlordotic cervical curvatures of the spine can be reduced. This relieves strain on the neck and may help enhance vision, thus helping to prevent secondary complications.

An elevating seat may also allow a person in a wheelchair to hear and engage in conversations within a noisy environment, as well as to see and navigate more safely through a crowd of people.

### **Pediatric considerations:**

An elevating seat allows children to transverse all vertical environments necessary to satisfy their learning needs, both from a psychological perspective and to improve physical abilities such as performing MRADLs. Children can particularly benefit from elevating seats in the following regards:

- Children learn spatial relationships and basic concepts by moving their bodies through space, which needs to include all dimensions.
- Language development comes from interaction, and young children have interactions with peers and adults and many different levels.
- It is necessary to be at peer level for social and cognitive development and avoid learned helplessness<sup>15</sup>. Seat to floor height for normal activities is recommended to be low due to the small size of the child. A seat that lowers to the floor can also provide access to peer activities.
- Low seat to floor height may also assist in stand pivot transfers, since small children have shorter lower extremities.
- A seat elevator allows for maintenance of low seat to floor height, yet provides MRADLs, reach and access to “adult” environments, such as a dining table, kitchen counters, bookshelves, etc. These areas are readily accessible for normally developing children as soon as they start walking and climbing. Use of elevating and/or lowering seats may stop the necessity to purchase additional equipment purely for integration purposes.

### **Summary:**

It is RESNA’s position that seat elevators are often medically necessary for wheelchair users by enabling them to reach, improving MRADL abilities, facilitating or enabling transfers, providing peer height at different ages, enhancing independence and productivity, and delaying or preventing pain and secondary complications of the upper extremities.

**Case examples:**

Sally is a 67 year old woman with Osteogenesis Imperfecta, right below knee amputation, limited active shoulder flexion to 100 degrees, and short stature (5 feet tall). She uses a power wheelchair due to upper extremity weakness and concerns with pathological fractures. She lives alone in an accessible apartment and is independent with all mobility related activities of daily living using the power wheelchair and seat elevator. She requires the seat elevator to perform sliding board transfers in a downhill direction as she does not have adequate upper extremity strength to slide herself across a level surface or uphill. She also requires the seat elevator to reach and carry out tasks at different surface heights specifically stove top cooking, and getting objects out of her cupboards. Without the seat elevator she would not be able to transfer herself or perform her necessary MRADLs, and would have to be living in a facility where she receives assistance.

Jim is a 42 year old man with Spastic Quadriplegic Cerebral Palsy. He spends approximately 16 hours a day in his wheelchair, which he uses for all home, work and social activities. With the help of a seat elevator he can reach objects in his home environment as well as all outside environments. In addition, by scooting forward, elevating the seat and stepping down to the ground supporting himself by the seat from behind, he is able to use a public urinal. He can then lower the seat and sit back into position. This ability saves him about 30 minutes a day, as compared to the need to get assistance and transfer onto an accessible toilet seat. This method also enables him to transfer independently without falling. Jim's MRADL abilities, independence and safety have significantly improved since the use of the seat elevator, and he became a more productive and less dependent member of society.

Jennifer is a three year old girl with Spinal Muscular Atrophy. Due to weakness in both her upper and lower extremities, she utilizes a power chair for mobility. She attends a mainstream preschool, where she integrates into all activities by elevating and lowering her seat as needed. With these features she can cover all vertical ranges; she is on the floor for circle time, she can lower to preschool tables, and she can elevate to reach objects. This integration lessens her self-consciousness, enabling her to communicate and play with the other children, gain self confidence and develop her independence. In the home environment she is given MRADL tasks to accomplish without help, so she develops a sense of independence and motivation like all other children. Through the use of the elevating and lowering feature, Jennifer has the potential to be more active and independent as an adult.

**References**

1. Arva J, Schmeler M. Vertical Mobility; An Overlooked Necessity. Proceedings of the 20<sup>th</sup> International Seating Symposium, 2004: 277-280.
  - ***A descriptive analysis of the medical, psychological and functional benefits of elevating seats and other devices which provide vertical mobility for wheelchair users,, based on clinical experience of the authors. Level V.***
2. Wang YT, Kim CK, Ford HT, Ford HT, Jr. Reaction force and EMG analyses of wheelchair transfers. *Perceptual & Motor Skills* 1994; 79(2):763-766.
  - ***An evaluation of upper extremity forces during wheelchair transfers with six able-bodied males. Level IV.***
3. Rodosky MW, Andriacchi TP, Andersson GB. The influence of chair height on lower limb mechanics during rising. *J Orthop Res* 1989; 7: 266-271.
  - ***A study evaluating lower limb mechanics in young adult subjects when rising from a seated position. Level IV.***
4. Edlich RF, Heather CL, Galumbeck MH. Revolutionary advances in adaptive seating systems for the elderly and persons with disabilities that assist sit-to-stand transfers. *J Long Term Eff Med Implants* 2003; 13: 31-39.
  - ***A descriptive review of literature and innovation in the design of wheelchair seat-elevating devices and their benefit to facilitate sit-to-stand performance. Level IV.***
5. Burdett RG, Habasevich R, Pisciotta J, Simon SR. Biomechanical comparison of rising from two types of chairs. *Phys Ther* 1985; 65: 1177-1183.
  - ***A clinical study that compared the joint moments and ranges of motion between 10 able bodied participants and 4 participants with lower extremity disabilities during rising from a standard chair with a seat height of 0.43 m and a specially designed chair with a seat height of 0.64m. Level IV.***
6. Janssen WG, Bussmann HB, Stam HJ. Determinants of the sit-to-stand movement: a review. *Phys Ther* 2002; 82: 866-879.
  - ***A systematic review and description of movements that influence performance in the sit to stand transfer process. Level III.***
7. Brattstrom M, Brattstrom H, Eklof M, Fredstrom J. The rheumatoid patient in need of a wheelchair. *Scand J Rehabil Med* 1981; 13: 39-43.
  - ***A study of 40 severely disabled participants with knee arthritis who use wheelchairs. Level IV.***

8. Weiner DK, Long R, Hughes MA, Chandler J, Studenski S. When older adults face the chair-rise challenge. A study of chair height availability and height-modified chair-rise performance in the elderly. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1993; 41: 6-10.
  - ***A survey and cross-sectional descriptive study of chair-rise ability to define the range of community seating heights available for use by older adults and to test whether raising the chair height by small increments facilitates greater chair-rise performance in which augmenting seat height by small increments does facilitate chair rise performance Level III.***
9. Alexander NB, Gross MM, Medell JL, Hofmeyer MR. Effects of functional ability and training on chair-rise biomechanics in older adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2001; 56: 538-547.
  - ***A comparison study of 16 trained able-bodied participants compared to a control group of 14 non-trained able-bodied participants relative to biomechanics of rise performance during chair-rise tasks. Level III.***
10. Alexander NB, Koester DJ, et al. Chair design affects how older adults rise from a chair. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 1996; 44(4): 356-362.
  - ***Cross-sectional study analyzing differences in rise difficulty for older and younger adults with a variety of chair configurations. Level III.***
11. Herberts P, Kadefors R, Hogfors C, Sigholm G. Shoulder pain and heavy manual labor. *Clinical Orthopaedics & Related Research* (1984): 166–78.
  - ***An epidemiological and biomechanical study analyzing industry workers' shoulder loads during lifting. Findings implicate loads to increase with elevation and further increase with heavy tools held in the hand. Level III.***
12. Sigholm G, Herberts P, Almstrom C, Kadefors R. Electromyographic analysis of shoulder muscle load. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* 1 (1984): 379–86.
  - ***An experimental study analyzing hand load and elevation with non-disabled subjects. Recommendations include minimizing hand elevation in order to avoid overuse injury. Level III.***
13. Palmerud G, Forsman M, Sporrang H, Herberts P, Kadefors R. Intramuscular pressure of the infra- and supraspinatus muscles in relation to hand load and arm posture. *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 83 (2000): 223–30.
  - ***Experimental study analyzing arm elevation and loading with ten healthy male volunteers. The elevation angle and the hand load primarily influences the development of IMP in the infra- and supraspinatus muscles, with elevation having a higher influence. Level III.***

14. Jarvholm U, Palmerud G, Karlsson D, Herberts P, Kadefors R. Intramuscular pressure and electromyography in four shoulder muscles. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* 9 (1991): 609–19.
- ***Experimental study with healthy volunteers, finding high IMP during elevation and loading. Since this may impede muscle blood flow, this is a potential explanation of the physiological stress on the rotator cuff muscles as compared with the deltoid and trapezius muscles in work with elevated arms. Level III.***
15. Safford PL, Arbitman DC: *Developmental Intervention with Young Handicapped Children*. Springfield, IL, Charles C. Thomas, 1975.
- ***A clinically focused book describing the developmental stages of young children. Level V.***

Levels of evidence have been assigned by the authors of this paper, based on the Sackett method, which is a generally accepted method of evaluating evidence. (Sackett, D. L., Richardson, W. S., Rosenberg, W., & Haynes, R. B. (1997). Critically appraising the evidence. In D. L. Sackett, W. S., Richardson, W. Rosenberg, & R. B. Haynes (Eds.). *Evidence-based medicine: How to practice and teach EBM* (p p. 38–156). New York: Churchill Livingstone.).

Sackett model definition of levels:

*Level I:*

Evidence is obtained from meta-analysis of multiple, well-designed, controlled studies.

*Level II:*

Evidence is obtained from at least one well-designed experimental study.

*Level III:*

Evidence is obtained from well-designed, quasi-experimental studies such as non-randomized, controlled single-group, pre-post, cohort, time, or matched case-control series

*Level IV:*

Evidence is from well-designed, nonexperimental studies such as comparative and correlational descriptive and case studies

*Level V:*

Evidence from case reports and clinical examples

*Authors:*

*Julianna Arva, M.S., ATP, Mark Schmeler, M.S., OTR/L, ATP, Michelle Lange, OTR, ABDA, ATP, Dan Lipka, M.Ed, OTR/L, ATS*

*Developed through RESNA's Special Interest Group in Seating and Wheeled Mobility (SIG-09)*